EXHIBIT 8

	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1.	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
. 2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3	Bate 444 1924
. 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : CRIMINAL ACTION
5	Plaintiff :
6	V.
7	ROBERT A. BERKMAN,
8	Defendant : NO. 03-45 (JJF)
9	Nation Nation Short
10	Wilmington, Delaware Thurdsay, July 17, 2003
11	12:00 o'clock, p.m.
12	-
.13	BEFORE: HONORABLE JOSEPH J. FARNAN, JR., U.S.D.C.J.
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	BETH MOSKOW-SCHNOLL, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney
17	Counsel for Plaintiff
18	
19	TERRY K. SHERMAN, ESQ.,
20	Counsel for Defendant
21	
22	
23	
24	Valerie J. Gunning Official Court Reporter
25	
1:	

1 2 3 (Proceedings commenced in the courtroom beginning 4 at 12:00 p.m.) 5 б THE COURT: Good afternoon. Be seated, please. 7 MS. MOSKOW-SCHNOLL: Good afternoon, your Honor. 8 Now is the time the Court has set for the change of -- entry 9 of a plea hearing in the case of United States versus Robert 10 A. Berkman, Criminal Action No. 03-45. 11 I will hand forward the executed memorandum of 12 plea agreement, which also has attached a copy of the :13 14 settlement agreement. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. (Ms. Moskow-Schnoll handed documents to the 16 17 Court.) 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sherman, Dr. Berkman, 19 do you want to step up to the podium, please? MR. SHERMAN: Thank you, your Honor. Good 20 21 afternoon. 22 THE COURT: Good afternoon. THE COURT: Doctor, if you would just take the 23 24 other side because I'm going to ask you some questions, by 25 where the microphone is. That's perfect.

2

. 3

5

· 7

. 8

9

. 10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

Doctor, as you heard, I've been told by the Government that you are offering to plead guilty and I have to ask you some questions so that I can satisfy myself that you're competent and capable to entering this guilty plea, that you understand the charges that have been brought against you as well as the consequences, and that there's some factual basis for you to plead guilty. All of this is done under oath, so it's important you give truthful responses.

If it's later found out your responses were untruthful, the Government can make a decision to charge you with a new and separate crime, such as perjury or making a false statement.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I will have the clerk administer an oath to you.

... DR. ROBERT A. BERKMAN, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows ...

THE COURT: All right. Doctor, first, I'm going to ask you some questions with regard to your waiver of indictment and allowing this case to proceed by an information.

Is there a waiver that has been executed?

Your Honor, there has been a 1 . 2 waiver. THE COURT: Thank you. 3 I've just been handed up what is captioned a 4 · 5 waiver of indictment, and it indicates that you have signed 6 that along with Mr. Sherman. Do you recall signing this? . 7 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. · THE COURT: And do you recall reviewing the 9 contents of it with Mr. Sherman? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 12 THE COURT: Now, I'm just going to review with you the various paragraphs of this waiver. 13 14 It says here that you're accused of violating by 15 an information to be filed, 18 United States Code, Section 371], conspiracy to violate the Prescription Drug Marketing 16 17 Act, that you've been advised of the charges and your rights 18 and you're willing to voluntarily, intelligently waive 19 prosecution by indictment and allow the Government to proceed 20 against you simply by filing a piece of paper which we 21 lawyers call an information. 22 Do you understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Do you understand that unless you 25 waive indictment as you've indicated you're willing to do,

2.

.11

you couldn't be charged with these crimes unless a Grand Jury were to meet and find that there was probable cause to believe that you've committed the offense you're being accused of and actually voted and returned an indictment against you?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Grand Jury is composed of at least 16, but not more than 23 lay people or citizens? At least 12 of those grand jurors would have to find that there was probable cause to believe that you've committed the offenses before you could be indicted, and that by waiving indictment, as I've said, the Government is only going to proceed -- will proceed against you only by filing that sheet of paper.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I accept that, your Honor.

THE COURT: You also understand that in waiving your right to indictment, that Grand Juries actually do meet and vote, and sometimes they vote not to indict a defendant.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I accept that.

THE COURT: All right. Now, has anybody made you any promises or has any anybody threatened you to get you to do this?

THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely not, sir.

THE COURT: And could you tell me for purposes of 1 the record, you're presently employed as a physician? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 3 THE COURT: And where are you employed? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Columbus, Ohio. 5 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for any 6 type of addiction to drugs or alcohol? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely never. 8 9 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for any. type of psychiatric or psychological disorder, what we would 10 11 commonly refer to as a mental illness? THE DEFENDANT: Never, sir. 12 THE COURT: In the last 24 hours, have you drank 13 any alcoholic beverages? 14 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. I don't drink, sir. 15 THE COURT: All right. Have you taken any drugs, 16 legal or illegal, that could affect your ability to 17 understand the proceedings here today? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely not, sir. 19 THE COURT: And you feel competent you've had a 20 21 fair opportunity to consult with Mr. Sherman, who's your 22 attorney in this case, and you're satisfied with his representation? 23 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, sir. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Based on the responses you've

 given me here today, then, I'm going to accept your waiver of indictment, finding that you are capable to do it and competent and it's being done voluntarily, without any threat or coercion, and I will file it with the clerk in open court at this time.

I'm now going to proceed to ask you some questions about your offer of a guilty plea to the information that the Government has filed, which is that piece of paper I talked about when we spoke with regard to your waiver of indictment.

If I asked you all of those questions about your present employment, use of drugs or alcohol, all of those answers would be the same as we begin to discuss this criminal charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Have you had an opportunity to review in detail the charges the Government alleges in this information with Mr. Sherman?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, sir.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied you understand the charges the Government brings against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I do.

THE COURT: I need you to tell me in your own words what conduct you engaged in that makes you believe you're guilty of this information.

1.

1:3

Ω

THE DEFENDANT: I have violated federal law, your Honor. I should have understood that the Medicare system was a reimbursement system and that it was not a for-profit system. That was my first error.

The second was when I was engaged in the use of the medication Zoladex and the pharmaceutical company, AstraZeneca, presented to me incentives relative to the purchase and securement of the medication, and advising me that it could be used for enhancing revenues in my practice by virtue of purchase agreements and incentives and implications, I accepted them, your Honor.

I take responsibility for that. They purported that it was appropriate. I realize now that it was not and the discounts that I received, I realize that I should have reported them, but I did not.

THE COURT: All right. And you understand that all of that was illegal and in violation of federal criminal statute?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I now do.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask the prosecutor, Ms. Moskow-Schnoll, to provide us with an offer of evidence the Government would have presented against you had this case gone to trial.

Ms. Moskow-Schnoll?

MS. MOSKOW-SCHNOLL: Your Honor, Astra-Zeneca

Pharmaceuticals 1P is a pharmaceutical company headquartered 1 2 in Wilmington, Delaware. The defendant is a urologist licensed to practice medicine in the State of Ohio, with a 3 practice located in Columbus Ohio.

4

5

6-7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Zoladex is a drug used in the treatment of prostate cancer. The drug is manufactured and marketed by Astra-Zeneca. Medicare reimburses for injectable drugs such as Zoladex based upon the average wholesale price or AWP for such drugs as published in the pharmaceutical industry reference known as the Redbook.

The Zoladex AWP published in the Redbook was a number supplied by Astra-Zeneca. In effect, this allowed Astra-Zeneca to control the amount of reimbursement Medicare paid to physicians for prescriptions.

Because Astra-Zeneca charged urologists less than the published AWP for Zoladex, a physician could earn a profit by choosing to treat a prostate cancer patient with Zoladex. Astra-Zeneca referred to this profit as return to practice.

In addition to the return to practice, in order to induce physicians to purchase or to continue purchasing Zoladex, Astra-Zeneca provided free samples of the drug to physicians, both intending and expecting that certain of those physicians would administer the free samples and thereafter bill their patients and their

patients' insurers.

Specifically, when Dr. Berkman stated that he would switch his patients from Zoladex to Lupron if he did not get a better deal from Astra-Zeneca, Astra-Zeneca provided free injections of Zoladex.

In this way, beginning in or around February 1994 and continuing at least until July 1996, Astra-Zeneca sales representatives provided to Dr. Berkman approximately 223 free one-month sample doses of Zoladex. Each sample was labeled not for retail sale and Dr. Berkman signed a sample receipt card for each sample dose he received. At least one Astra-Zeneca sales representative additionally provided Dr. Berkman's office with labels that Dr. Berkman's staff used to cover up the not-for-resale statement on the packaging.

Dr. Berkman administered the free samples and received approximately \$84,448 in billing for the free samples.

THE COURT: Doctor, you've heard what the prosecutor says the evidence the Government would have brought against you is.

Do you have any strong disagreement with that evidence?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. I have no disagreement.

THE COURT: Mr. Sherman?

MR. SHERMAN: Well, your Honor, the free Zoladex that was provided to Dr. Berkman was represented to him as part of a volume discount, and that's -- and the second thing I think the record ought to clearly represent is the use of Zoladex by Dr. Berkman was never motivated to the patient. It was motivated by the need of the patient to receive the drug.

There was no issue that the patients that received Zoladex shouldn't have received it or that treatment in another fashion would have been more appropriate.

THE COURT: All right. With those two further understandings of Dr. Berkman's view of the Government's evidence, is there anything additional?

MR. SHERMAN: Not at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Doctor, do you agree with

what Mr. Sherman has told us?

9.

1.7

. 18

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask you about the memorandum of plea agreement and what I guess is an attachment. It's called the Settlement Agreement Between the Office of Inspector General and the Department of Health and Human Services and Robert A. Berkman, M.D.

Have you reviewed both the memorandum of plea agreement and this settlement document?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I have.

1.

. **6**

. 9

THE COURT: And have you talked with Mr. Sherman about both of-these documents?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: And in the memorandum of plea agreement, it says in Paragraph 1 that the violation you're pleading guilty to carries a maximum penalty of imprisonment of not more than five years and a \$250,000 fine, three years of supervised release and a \$100 special assessment.

Do you understand that they're the maximum penalties you're exposing yourself to buy your guilty plea here today?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that there are sentencing guidelines in place in Federal Court and you'll be sentenced in accordance with those guidelines unless there's some reason, either that there should be a departure upwardly or downwardly, which would have to be justified?

THE DEFENDANT: I accept that, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand all the provisions of this memorandum of plea agreement? This is the time to ask questions about it if you don't.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand it, sir.

THE COURT: And on the copy that has been given to me, there's a signature there. Is that your signature

7 -

along with Mr. Sherman's?

(·

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, it is.

THE COURT: Now, are all the promises you've received from the United States Government to get you to enter into this plea agreement which relates to the criminal charge and the settlement agreement with the Inspector General, are all the promises or guarantees or matters in exchange you've gotten contained in these two documents?

THE DEFENDANT: I received no promises, sir, other than the document. It's just the document. That's all I received.

THE COURT: Sometimes defendants come back and they say, Well, gosh, I was told this and I know it wasn't in the agreement. But what I want to be sure is everything you have discussed and been told would be given in exchange to get your plea and to get the settlement agreement they're all in the documents; correct?

THE DEFENDANT: There was nothing else, sir. Just the document.

THE COURT: Is anybody threatening you or in any way forcing you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Has anybody promised you what the sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: I'm now going to review with you your rights as a defendant.

Do you understand you have the absolute right to continue to plead not guilty and no one could force you to plead guilty, and understand that the burden is always on the United States Government to prove your guilt in this criminal charge by a standard of beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: I accept that.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you told me that you wanted to continue to plead not guilty, that I would schedule a trial and 12 jurors would be impaneled to sit and hear your case?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm aware of that, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand at that trial you would have the right to be represented by an attorney, in this case Mr. Sherman, and you could, through your attorney, challenge the Government's evidence, both exhibits and testimony?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you could also, through your attorney, present evidence on your behalf, both witnesses and exhibits, and that you could use the power of the Court to subpoena persons or documents that you thought might favor your case to be forced to be brought to court here?

1

2

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

3

THE COURT: Do you also understand, as I've told you, that you don't have to do anything during the trial

5

because the burden is always on the Government, not on the

6

defendant. And, in addition, you have an absolute right

7

against self-incrimination, so you could just sit through the

8

trial and not have to say anything.

9

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

Do you understand that?

10 11

THE COURT: Do you understand if you wanted to testify in your cause, after consultation with your attorney,

.12 13

you could make a decision to testify?

14

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I understand that.

15

THE COURT: Do you understand that for you to be found guilty, all 12 jurors would have to agree that you were

16 17

guilty. In other words, their verdict would have to be

18

unanimous?

19

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that.

20

THE COURT: Do you understand if that jury were

21

to find you guilty by unanimous vote, that you could appeal their decision to a higher Court, the Court of Appeals for

22

the Third Circuit in Philadelphia?

23 24

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I do.

25

THE COURT: Do you also understand that when you

.19

plead guilty, there is no appeal from the facts of the case because you've admitted you're guilty. You can appeal the sentence if you believe any part or all of it is illegal, but there's no appeal from your guilt or innocence determination because you admitted guilt?

THE DEFENDANT: I accept that.

THE COURT: Do you understand that all the rights I've discussed with you and your right to a jury trial are being given up or waived by you by your entry of this guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that as a convicted felon, you are going to lose valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to ever hold public office and the right to ever be around or near firearms and other consequences that may pertain from jurisdiction to jurisdiction that I'm not mentioning here, but what I want you to understand is that being a convicted felon carries with it penalties in addition to the sentence that would be imposed in this case.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I accept that.

THE COURT: Mr. Sherman, do you know anything else I should ask Dr. Berkman?

MR. SHERMAN: No, your Honor. I think you're

doing quite well.

. 7

. 20

THE COURT: Ms. Moskow-Schnoll, do you know anything else I should ask Dr. Berkman?

MS. MOSKOW-SCHNOLL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Dr. Berkman, based on the responses you've given here today, I find you're competent and capable to enter a guilty plea. I further find that you are fully aware of the charges against you and the consequences that will flow from this guilty plea. I further find there's a basis in fact for you to plead guilty to this information, both by your own admission of guilt and your acceptance of the clarifications made by Mr. Sherman of the Government's offer of proof.

For all of these reasons, I now adjudge you guilty of the information count and ask you to indicate your guilty plea by signing the information when presented it by the Clerk of the Court.

I'm going to indicate my acceptance of your guilty plea by signing the memorandum of plea agreement and filing it in open court with the clerk at this time.

DEPUTY CLERK: The information has been signed, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Dr. Berkman, someone from our presentence office will be contacting you as well as Mr. Sherman. It's important you cooperate with that officer

so	I	will	have	all	the	information	Aon	want	me	to	have	when
the	2 1	time	comes	for	sent	tencing.						

I'm going to have sentencing scheduled by my office contacting Mr. Sherman and Ms. Moskow-Schnoll and it will be in approximately three months, and we'll get that date probably in the next 30 days.

Mr. Sherman, is there anything further.

MR. SHERMAN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Moskow-Schnoll?

MS. MOSKOW-SCHNOLL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. This matter then may stand in recess. I have another matter to take up.

(Court recessed at 12:18 p.m.)

14

13

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OHIO STATE MEDICAL BOARD

DEC 1 6 2003

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a title and accurate transcript from my stanographic notes in the proceeding.

Official Court Reporter

U. S. District Court

1 VALERIE J. GUNNING, INC. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER. 844 KING STREET, LOCKBOX 24 2 ROOM 3410 WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801 3 EIN 33-1053161 **OHIO STATE MEDICAL BOARD** DECEMBER 9, 2003 5 DEC 1 0 2003 6 STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO-ATTN: CHUCK WOODBECK, ESQ. 77 S. HIGH STREET, 17TH FLOOR 7 COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215-6127 8 IN RE: USA V. ROBERT A. BERKMAN CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 03-41 (JJF) 9 DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 10 CHANGE OF PLEA HELD TRURSDAY, JULY 17, 2003 BEFORE JUDGE 11 FARNANIN IN WILMINGTON, DELAWARE. 12 1 COPY, 18 PAGES AT \$.83 PER PAGE :13 THANK YOU. 14 .15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25